Component: Appellate Courts (767)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|-----|----|
| *: | | ****** | ***** Changes Fr | om FY2009 Co | onference Co | mmittee To FY | 2009 Authorized | ******** | ******* | ***** | | |
| FY2009 Conference | | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | ConfCom | 6,323.3 | 5,806.2 | 102.6 | 338.7 | 66.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55 | 1 | 18 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | 6, | 323.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 6,323.3 | 5,806.2 | 102.6 | 338.7 | 66.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55 | 1 | 18 |
| | ****** | ****** | ****** Changes | From FY2009 | Authorized 1 | Го FY2009 Man | agement Plan * | ***** | ****** | *** | | |
| Technical line iten | n adjustment to | reflect correct ac | count codes | | | | | | | | | |
| | LIT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -85.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Department of Ac | dministration reor | | s from the Services li codes, but the author | | | | | when the | | | | |
| Position Count Ad | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0 | , |
| The Annellate Co | PosAdj | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | U | -4 |
| The Appellate Co | ourt is eliminating | 4 non-perm position | ons and adding 1 full | ume administrative | assistant. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 6,323.3 | 5,806.2 | 102.6 | 253.7 | 151.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 1 | 14 |
| | ***** | ****** | **** | - F F. (000) | | . Dia . T. EVO | | | ****** | 44 | | |
| EV2010 Wage and | | | Onange. | | | t Plan To FY20 | 010 Governor ** | | | ^^ | | |
| r 12010 wage and | SalAdi | 199.1 | Bargaining Units wit 199.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | C |
| 1004 Gen Fund | , | 199.1 | 100.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | O | O |
| The FY2010 wag : \$199.1 | ge and health ins | urance increases a | pplicable to this comp | oonent | | | | | | | | |
| Judicial Retiremer | nt System Savin | n gs -425.5 | -425.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 425.5 | 420.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | O | O | 0 |
| Cost savings due | e to the decrease | in the employer ra | te from 57.7% to 26. | 2%. | | | | | | | | |
| Workstations, Offi | ice Equipment a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 117.8 | 117.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 117.8 | | | | | | | | | | |

The appellate courts have 55 permanent, full-time employees. The appellate courts receive an annual operating appropriation of only \$9,200 for equipment and furniture - an average of \$167/position. Due to the limited funding, the appellate courts are unable to purchase needed replacement computer equipment and ergonomically correct workstations and replacement furniture. Experts recommend a three-year replacement cycle for PCs and a four-year replacement cycle for

Component: Appellate Courts (767) **RDU:** Alaska Court System (244)

| | | | | | | | | | | Р | ositions | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------|-----|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| printers. The cou | | | | | | | es a sustained funding vorkstations and furnitu | | | | | |
| Travel for Fairban | ks Based Supr | eme Court Justice | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 11.5 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 11.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | e travel expenses for usiness. For FY09, th | | | | el to Anchorage for suprexpense. | reme court | | | | |
| Elimination of Vac | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4004 O Frank | Inc | 80.4 | 80.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 80.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| court system has backlogged casel as possible to pe | been managing loads and to pre rmit an adequat | g with a 6% - 7% va event increased num e amount of time for | cancy factor, which is bers of backlogged or the selection and ap | s achieved by hole caseloads, the cou opointment proces | ding vacated pos ort system has asses to occur price | sitions open for a 3 sked its retiring judo or to the judicial offi | n a 4% - 7% vacancy f 0-day period. Because ges to give as much ad cer leaving his or her p budgets of the appellat | of vanced notice osition. To | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 6,306.6 | 5,778.0 | 114.1 | 253.7 | 151.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 1 | 14 |
| | | ******* | • | | | FY2010 Gover | - | | ****** | | • | |
| | Totals | 6,306.6 | 5.778.0 | 114.1 | 253.7 | 151.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 1 | 14 |

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| | | | | | | | | | | Po | ositions | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| | ****** | ****** | ***** Changes Fr | om FY2009 Co | nference Cor | nmittee To FY | 2009 Authorized | ****** | ****** | ***** | | |
| FY2009 Conference | ce Committee | | · · | | | | | | | | | |
| | ConfCom | 70,982.7 | 54,406.2 | 1,035.8 | 14,112.4 | 891.1 | 437.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 582 | 57 | 9 |
| 1002 Fed Rcpts | | ,466.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | 67 | 7,433.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1007 I/A Rcpts | | 421.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 GF/MH 1092 MHTAAR | | 589.9 987.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1108 Stat Desig | | 85.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1100 Otal Desig | | 00.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 70,982.7 | 54,406.2 | 1.035.8 | 14,112.4 | 891.1 | 437.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 582 | 57 | 9 |
| | | • | • | 1,000.0 | 17,112.7 | 001.1 | | | | | 0. | J |
| | | ******* | Changes | From FY2009 | Authorized T | o FY2009 Man | agement Plan ** | ******* | ****** | *** | | |
| Technical line iten | | o reflect correct ac | | | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| | LIT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | -200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | rniture from the Capi | tal line to the Comm | nodities line. IVI | ost of these items r | now cost less than \$5 | ,000, so they | | | | |
| now are coded to | the Commoditi | es line. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 70,982.7 | 54,406.2 | 1,035.8 | 14,112.4 | 1,091.1 | 237.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 582 | 57 | 9 |
| | Subtotal | 10,962.1 | 54,400.2 | 1,033.6 | 14,112.4 | 1,091.1 | 231.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 302 | 31 | 9 |
| | | ****** | Onange. | s From FY2009 | Managemen | t Plan To FY20 | 10 Governor *** | ****** | ***** | ** | | |
| reverse FY2009 M | | ust Recommendat | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dec | -987.8 | -131.7 | -41.4 | -813.4 | -1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1092 MHTAAR | | -987.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis .lus | stice - Treatme | nt funding for ther | apeutic court partic | inants | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 500.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 500.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1037 GF/MH | | 500.0 | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | therapeutic alternativ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | t to reduce recidivism | | | | | |
| access to substa | nce abuse and | mental health treatn | nent services is a crit | ical component to the | he operations o | f these courts and | the success of its par | rticipants. | | | | |
| In FY10 a \$500.0 | GF/MH increm | ent is requested to | ensure continued acc | cess to treatment se | ervices for exist | ing and new therap | eutic courts. | | | | | |
| MU Tructi Die Jue | tion Lagra D | arrow Thoropoutio | Court Coop Coordi | natar affice anace | | | | | | | | |
| VIII Trust: DIS JUS | Inc | 12.5 | Court Case Coording 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | IIIC | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 12.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The funding will be used to cover the costs of maintaining an existing lease for office space. This space is occupied by the Barrow Therapeutic Court case

Positions

coordinator.

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| | | | | | | | | | | Pε | ositions | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------|-----|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| A \$12.5 GF incre | ement in FY10 is | s requested to maint | ain this lease. | | | | | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | stice - Training IncOTI | for therapeutic co 25.0 25.0 | urt clinical staff 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and the GAINS (| Center. The co | nference is only offe | | and focuses on is | | | conference sponsored b f mental health and crin | | | | | |
| A \$25.0 MHTAA | R increment in | FY10 is requested for | or Alaska therapeutic | court teams to par | rticipate in the co | nference. | | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | stice - Grant 58 Inc | 4.04 Adult Guardia 147.7 147.7 | nship/Mediation pro 0.0 | 0.0 | 147.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | proach to resolv | ing the adult guardi | | | | | n prior year) will continu tion. The funding and th | | | | | |
| issues that will of and prevent the p | onserve judicial ootential financia | resources, eliminate al victimization of a v | e the unnecessary ap | pointment of guard Court System staf | dians and/or cons | servators, protect t | uardianship and/or cons he Trust beneficiary's a the funding is utilized, th | utonomy, ' | | | | |
| This project was | funded in FY09 | with \$147.7 MHTAA | R and the FY10 requ | est is to continue t | the momentum of | f this project with \$ | 147.7 GF/MH. | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | | | se coordinator capa | | | | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | • |
| 1037 GF/MH | Inc | 99.4 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Increased Case Coordinator Capacity - Anchorage Mental Health Court project provides additional slots for participation in this therapeutic court as well as enhance the quality of court supervision. The funding and its incorporation into the existing model will be managed by the Alaska Court System. The Anchorage Mental Health Court is at a juncture where an additional case coordinator is required.

This position maintains the momentum of a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan by enhancing the Anchorage Mental Health Court's ability to identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with the criminal justice system and then develop a court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment needs and will be monitored by the court, thus, decreasing risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care within a correctional or the psychiatric institution and the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide outcome data on how the funding is utilized and associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals served and their outcomes.

This project was funded in FY09 with \$99.4 MHTAAR and the FY10 request is to continue the momentum of this project with \$99.4 in GF/MH.

D - - 111 - - -

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

255.9

| NDO. | Alaska Oourt | Cystem (Z++) | | | | | | | | Po | sitions | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---------------|-----|---------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | tice - Grant 567 | 04 Access to time | ly neuropsychiatric | evaluations | | | | | | | | |
| WIT Trust. Dis sus | IncOTI | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1092 MHTAAR | | 25.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| neuropsychologic plans as well as funding and the p This project mair participant's cogr bail/probation vio | cal evaluations states judicial office or oject will be maintains a critical contitive abilities so illations. | atewide. These assocers and legal team naged by the Alask mponent of the Dis nappropriate condit | essments and evalua members in construct a Court System throu ability Justice Focus | tions assist thera cting conditions of gh contracts with Area plan by prov aren't set thus av | peutic court tean f bail/probation a qualified neurop viding services to voiding the costs | ns in developing appropriate to the psychologists. accurately assess of incarceration ar | ontinue funding for access opropriate court ordered articipant's cognitive abits and evaluate a therape and as a result of preventations. | treatment lities. The eutic court | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | tice - Grant 193 | 5.01 Juneau Ment | al Health Court | | | | | | | | | |
| | IncOTI | 204.4 | 80.4 | 4.3 | 119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1092 MHTAAR | 2 | 204.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: D managed by the | | | ovide a therapeutic co | urt alternative for | Trust beneficiari | es in Juneau. The | e project and its funding | will be | | | | |
| contact with the o | criminal justice system of future contacts | stem and then deve with the criminal ju | eloping a court orderestice system, care wit | d treatment plan t hin a correctiona | that addresses tr I or the psychiatr | eatment needs and the contract of the contract | derlying reasons for an ir d will be monitored by the ne associated high costs viduals served and their | e court, thus s. Court | | | | |
| This project was | funded in FY09 w | rith \$204.4 MHTAAI | R and is maintained a | t that level in FY1 | 0 with \$204.4. | | | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | tice - Grant 193 | 4.01 Fairbanks Ju | venile Therapeutic (| Court | | | | | | | | |
| | IncOTI | 255.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 255.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court will continue funding for a therapeutic court alternative for Trust beneficiary youth involved in the juvenile justice system. The project and its funding will be managed by the Alaska Court System.

This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan by engaging Trust beneficiary youth in treatment to avoid the future costs of more expensive treatment services or costs associated with the adult correctional and judicial systems. Court System staff will provide outcome data on how the funding is utilized and associated cost savings as well as the number of youth served and their outcomes.

This project was funded in FY09 with \$236.3 MHTAAR. In FY10, the \$255.9 MHTAAR funding request reflects a modest increase to maintain momentum of effort.

1092 MHTAAR

Component: Trial Courts (768)

Inc

1004 Gen Fund

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---------------|-----|-----|----|
| Utilities and Contra | act Increases | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 725.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 725.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 725.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, 2009, appropriation to c reduce consumpt estimates it will ne janitorial services | their rates for rover the under fon and improved an addition and security soled to cover the | natural gas will be in funding to pay the Fire building energy efinal \$400,000 to addrervices have advised increase in FY10 is | creasing by at least 2 Y09 utility cost increatificiencies have been ess utility charges for d the court that due to | 0% and possibly a uses, but requires successful, but do the trial courts thr o increasing costs | as high as 24%. this funding to all onot generate er roughout the state, they are forced | The court system in so be included in the cough savings to office. Additionally, send to increase contrals. | ed with Enstar that effices seeking a supplement the FY10 base budget. If set the soaring costs, vice contractors for snoctual amounts charged and service contracts | ntal budget Efforts to The court ow removal, I the court. | | | | |
| deferred. The cou | ırt already face | s the challenge of for | unding deferred main | tenance that accu | mulated in prior | years. Finally, with | naintenance activities t the expansion of cour services these facilitie | t facilities in | | | | |
| No Dark Courtroo | ns | | | | | | | | | | | |

32.5

84.5

0.0

0.0

In the Alaska Court System's FY 2009 budget request, funding was sought for a new court initiative to improve courtroom operations around the state. The 2008 legislature appropriated funds for approximately one-fourth of the funding request, so that the court could begin work on this initiative, with the understanding that the court would seek further funding this legislative session.

0.0

762.1

879.1

879.1

With the funding appropriated by the 2008 legislature, in-court positions were allocated to Palmer, Anchorage and Fairbanks to begin pilot projects to improve the courtroom environment and courtroom flow. In these locations, a second in-court clerk is now present in some courtrooms during high volume proceedings so that case paperwork can be prepared and distributed to parties immediately in the courtroom, and data essential to public safety concerns can be immediately recorded in the court's electronic systems for dissemination throughout the justice system. Already, benefits have become apparent: Judgments, bail conditions and court orders are available to defendants and attorneys without delay, promoting compliance and eliminating the need for later paper processing and mailing. These improvements are not only a benefit to the court, but also to the other justice agencies.

In FY 09, new court clerk positions were also placed in Kenai, Bethel, and Nome, to address current serious courtroom understaffing. The new positions help ensure that no court proceedings are postponed or canceled due to the unavailability of court support staff, and reduce some of the pressure associated with inadequate staffing.

In FY 2010, the court system is requesting eight new courtroom personnel positions and five additional range 10 clerical positions. The new courtroom positions will ensure that an adequate number of in-courts are available for court proceedings and also improve the court system's ability to train in-court staff. Given the complexity of the job, it currently takes approximately one year for an in-court clerk to be fully trained, especially if the employee has not previously worked for the court system.

The court system is requesting additional range 10 clerical positions so that larger courts can place an additional clerical position in the courtroom during high volume proceedings, such as multi-case arraignments, calendar calls, and changes of plea hearings. Having an additional clerical position in the courtroom will allow important information, such as bail and conditions of release, to be entered into the case management system and made available to law enforcement and

0.0

13

0

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| | | , , | | | | | | | | P | ositions | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|---------------|-----|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| | | | s the order. It will also hey leave the procee | | system to produce | e and distribute m | ore documents in the co | ourtroom, so | | | | |
| First District Posit | tion Changes Inc | 214.0 | 199.0 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | -2 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 214.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| per superior cour added, but there system is seeking | erior court has o t judge in the st continues to be g funding for a n | ver 1,000 superior cate. To relieve some insufficient judicial renagistrate. This addit | of the pressure within esources to manage tion to district court w | n the superior cour the Juneau caselo ill enable the distric | rt, a part-time mast pad. As an interiment ct court judge to a | ster and a part-ting method of addro assist with some of | rtionally has the highest ne custody investigator essing this problem, the caseload in the superior costly (and less efficier | have been court court. | | | | |
| The Haines coun | is currently stat | | igistraté and a seaso | | | | s the addition of a perm proposes elimination of t | | | | | |
| A part-time custo | dy investigator v | | but without administr | | | | ve assistant for the Ketchours from 18.75 to 37. | | | | | |
| time clerk to full-t | s currently staffe | ed with a magistrate the management of | of felonies and other | superior court case | e filings now that | the Craig court is | to increase the hours of a superior court filing s al work created by the s | ite. The | | | | |
| Third District Posi | ition Changes Inc | 436.5 | 387.5 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |

District Court Judge, 81A, permanent, full-time

In FY08, the Anchorage District Court was managing a caseload of 1,765 non-traffic filings per judicial officer. This is the highest non-traffic caseload in the state by a large margin, and the caseload is increasing; during the first quarter of FY09, the district court's caseload was up 5% over the number of case filings reported for the first quarter of FY08. In addition, the court system has learned that the Municipality of Anchorage intends to add 33 new positions to its existing police force. Adding these police officers will further increase case filings for the district court. Significantly, while the addition of a district court judge in Anchorage would reduce the ratio of filings per judicial officer, the court would remain the busiest district court in the state.

Deputy Clerk III, Anchorage, 12A, permanent, full-time

436.5

1004 Gen Fund

Component: Trial Courts (768)

Page 8 of 19

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services Co | mmodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | ositions PPT | NP |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---------------|-----|-----------------|----|
| digital recording | equipment, a compu | erized case mai | nagement system, ar | automated jury ma | anagement system, | complicated te | ourtroom technology, in elephone systems, assion om proceedings go sm | stive devices | | | | |
| The Naknek cour case filings are c court's caseload, | riminal misdemeano full-time clerical ass | with only a mag rs. Although the istance is prima | annual caseload sug rily needed during th | gests that a full-time summer months | e position is required and for trial calenda | d, because of rs during the r | FY07 and FY08. The nature of the seasonal nature of est of the year. The action is absent due to illr | the Naknek Idition of a | | | | |
| The Anchorage (division of clerica complexity to the | l operations perform job of managing Ar | e has more than ed in divided be achorage clerica | 150 employees. The tween two buildings. | This distribution of nd chief deputy cler | staffing is expected | to continue in | urt and a chief deputy the future and adds fu verseeing criminal and | ırther | | | | |
| Fourth District Po | sition Changes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc 143 | 143.5 3.5 | 134.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | (|
| In the rural court court operations. | of Aniak recruitmen Additionally, the co agistrate is traveling | and retention of urt in Aniak is sta or otherwise ab | affed with a single mesent from work, the | court has been diff agistrate and a cler court is only open for | k of court. Because or 1/2 days. Case fili | the clerk of co | osition is costly and dis ourt position is only fur 1% when comparing F | ded for part- | | | | |
| activity. To impro | ve accessibility in Ai 20 to 30 hours per | | vide an appropriate is | evel of customer se | rvice, the court syste | em is requesti | ng funding to increase | the hours of | | | | |
| activity. To impro this position from Rural Court Train The 4th judicial d Sea on the west. training and over of a Bethel-base With two RCTAs Since the travel of | 20 to 30 hours per ing Assistant, Bethe istrict is the largest j There are a total of sight administrative at RCTA focusing on the fourth district wosts and time require | week. I, 18A, permane udicial district ge 10 rural court si support needs in the training nee buld then have the do to travel from | nt, full-time \$111,900 tographically in the Utes, five in the Interior the Bethel region, ands of the Bethel regione resource capacity | nited States, extend or and five in the Be permanent Rural C on, the current Fairl to be more attentiv thel area are signifi | ding from the Canac othel area. To more Court Training Assist banks-based RCTA e and responsive in | lian border on effectively and ant (RCTA) po could then foo meeting its ne | the east of the state to l cost-efficiently meet to sition is required. With cus on the rural courts are seeds within the vast juck and positions in this area | o the Bering ne significant n the addition in Interior. licial district. | | | | |
| activity. To impro this position from Rural Court Train The 4th judicial of Sea on the west. training and over of a Bethel-based With two RCTAs. Since the travel of with extending the | 20 to 30 hours per ing Assistant, Bethe istrict is the largest j There are a total of sight administrative at RCTA focusing on the fourth district wosts and time require | week. I, 18A, permane udicial district ge 10 rural court sistematical to the training need buld then have the travel from rces available to | nt, full-time \$111,900 cographically in the U tes, five in the Interior the Bethel region, a ds of the Bethel regione resource capacity a Fairbanks to the Be | nited States, extend or and five in the Be permanent Rural C on, the current Fairl to be more attentiv thel area are signifi | ding from the Canac othel area. To more Court Training Assist banks-based RCTA e and responsive in | lian border on effectively and ant (RCTA) po could then foo meeting its ne | the east of the state to cost-efficiently meet to sition is required. With cus on the rural courts seeds within the vast jud | o the Bering ne significant n the addition in Interior. licial district. | 0.0 | | | |

State of Alaska 3-2-2009 1:19 PM
Office of Management & Budget

surcharges" to cover their increased fuel expense and to stay in business, this expense must be passed on to the traveler. According to an October 29, 2008 press

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---------------|-----|-----|----|
| reached the highe Transportation Sta 2007." The court | st level of aver atistics (BTS) re system's autho | rage fares for any que eported today.""A prized travel budget i | s Bureau of Transportation arter in the 13 years measurerage domestic air fares a modest 1.4% of the taskan communities. The communities. | asured by avai in the second otal authorized | lable data the U.S quarter of 2008 budget and cann | S. Department of Twere up 8.1 perce of absorb these expenses these expenses the second contract of the second cont | ransportation's Bureau ent from the second qu xcessive cost increase | u of arter of s without | | | | |
| system has experi companies have a law library subscri communities. Acc Index for Anchora | ienced significand advised the couptions, but furtle cording to the Ege increased b | ant increased costs urt system of cost inc her reductions in the Bureau of Labor State by 4.6% and transpo | vel costs, the court system for routine office supplies creases up to as much a collections would diminification, in comparing the contation costs have increas le supplies. For items supplies. | s such as pape s 30% over cu sh the court sy ost of goods b sed 10.3%. Th | er, toner for copie rrent rates paid. rstem's ability to p etween Septemb e cost to transpo | rs and other cons To control library or ovide the necess er, 2007 and Sep rt goods to the con | umable office supplies costs, the court system sary legal resources for tember 2008, the Consurt system's 44 location | s. Publishing In has reduced In Alaskan Isumer Price Ins., adds a | | | | |
| Life-Cycle Replace | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc | 250.0 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| requirements. Rap the past, the court funding must be in a three-year repla | oid advanceme has received accorporated into cement cycle f | nts in computer tech grant and capital fun o the court's base op or PCs and a four-y | the court to regularly up- inology require the court ding to purchase the req- perating budget to insure ear replacement cycle for sustained funding source | system to contuired replacem a planned, life r printers. The | inually evaluate a nent equipment and cycle approach of court plans to ke | and improve its ba and operating syste to replacement of ep its equipment | se of technological eques, but a sustained so these systems. Expert | uipment. In ource of s recommend | | | | |
| Pro Tem Pay Increa | ase Inc | 147.4 | 147.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | 0 | 147.4 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | ŭ | Ü |
| necessary as no c increased funding been paid a daily | other judicial re prior to the leg rate, which is b | source is available. islative session, pro based on a \$30 hou | ecial projects and for council Additionally, as caseloa tem judges and justices rely rate. The court system orneys and attorneys ap | ds increase du are called upo n requests the | ring a budget yean n to handle the in daily rate pay ba | ar and there is lim creased caseload asis be increased | ited opportunity to acq . Since 1997, pro tem | uire judges have | | | | |
| Public Building Fu | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc | 268.3 268.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 268.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and the Dimond C | courthouse will | be \$115,800. In add | ourt system that the incredition to funding these state be \$152,000. This amou | te-managed s | paces, the costs | or increases for a | nother 22 facilities leas | sed through | | | | |

State of Alaska Office of Management & Budget 3-2-2009 1:19 PM

Page 10 of 19

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| NDO. | 7 llaona oo | art Gyotom (2 11) | | | | | | | | F | ositions | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---------------|-----|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| Nenana/Healy reg | gion. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staff Development | and Sustain | ed Systems' Training | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Inc | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 75.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kotzebue, Nome, funding, but the tr which is used for | and Unalakle aining prograi juror travel ar | et. Deployment of a sta m must have a sustaine | te-wide application d operational fundin ne increased fundir | will require on-goir ng source. The coung requested will pr | ng state-wide tra urt system receiv ovide a sustaine | aining. Initial trainin ves a very modest | , Palmer, Fairbanks, Ba g is paid through capital amount of funding for tra ontinue to promote profice | and grant avel, most of | | | | |
| Elimination of Vac | ancy Savings | Requirement for Judi | cial Positions | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 559.0 | 559.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 559.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| as possible to per | mit an adequot of 0% vacance | ate amount of time for tl y of judicial positions, th | ne selection and ap e court system will | opointment process require additional f | ses to occur prio funds within the | or to the judicial offi personal services | ges to give as much adv cer leaving his or her po budgets of the appellate | osition. To e and trial | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc | 326.6 326.6 | 326.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Each year the Hu system receive sa 22.20.037(c). The in 1995, 1990, an "As part of the stu and compared the and the executive judicial and attorn handle both distriction officer and in mar | alaries consisted 2007 classified 1989, respendently, the humane duties, respendently branch. The ley positions wet and superiously locations, is | tes Department conduct ent with those paid to encation study included the ectively. In resources department consibilities, knowledge, study concluded that st vithin the court system, or court proceedings, an | mployees in the classe magistrate, stand examined the type and skills required anding master and but recommended d magistrates work representative in the | assified and partially ing master, and consess of judicial work the for these positions a committing magist upgrading certain raing in very small, referenced. | y exempt state s mmitting magist hat magistrates, to those require trate positions ar magistrate group emote locations | service. This annual rate job classes. The standing masters, and for other attorner classified appropose, namely, magist at which the maginary. | re that employees of the all study is required by Ashese job classes were laward committing magistry positions within the coordinately when compared rates working in larger contacts is generally the organal funding to pay mag | sast reviewed rates perform rates ystem to other courts who aly judicial | | | | |
| Juror Mileage Reir | nbursement | Increase | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Inc | 35.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 35.0 | | | | | | | | | | |

State of Alaska Office of Management & Budget 3-2-2009 1:19 PM

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| NDO. | Alaska Cou | it System (244) | | | | | | | | P | ositions | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|------|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| jurors serving in service, which m aggregated mile | remote locations hay span severa age traveled du f gas continues | s may not reside 30 I days. The court sy- ring the course of th | miles away from the o stem desires a rule ch eir service exceeds 60 | court, but may trav ange and is seeki O miles. Jurors cur | el distances gre ng funding to rei rently receive \$2 | ater than 60 miles imburse jurors for t 25 per day for thei | ent. Because of small juduring the course of the dring the course of the heir mileage expense if r juror service. For rural for their mileage, is cau | eir juror f the I locations at | | | | |
| Transcripts cost | for Criminal Pro | oceedings 125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc | 125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| the demand for t | transcripts has one payment rate | Iramatically increase s are far below mark | d. Coupled with this i | increased demand | l, fewer and few | ver contractors are | h the increased crimina willing to accept work 55 - \$3.05. The federal | from the | | | | |
| FY2010 Wage and | d Health Insura SalAdi | nce Increases for I | Bargaining Units with | n Existing Agreen | nents | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | , | 1,911.8 | 1,011.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | O | Ū | U |
| The FY2010 waq : \$1911.8 | ge and health in | surance increases a | pplicable to this comp | onent | | | | | | | | |
| Judicial Retireme | nt System Savi | ngs -3,104.4 | -3.104.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 3,104.4 | -3,104.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| Cost savings due | e to the decreas | e in the employer ra | te from 57.7% to 26.2 | %. | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 74,704.1 | 55,678.4 | 1,294.2 | 15,625.0 | 1,719.3 | 287.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 602 | 56 | 9 |
| | ****** | ****** | ******* Changes | From FY2010 (| Governor To | FY2010 Gover | nor Amended **** | ***** | ****** | *** | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | stice - Training Dec | for therapeutic co -10.0 | urt clinical staff 0.0 | -10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1092 MHTAAR | 200 | -10.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ŭ | ŭ | Ū |
| | | | | | | | ince original budget app qual projected MHTAAI | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Jus | stice - Grant 19 | 34.01 Fairbanks J u -5.0 | venile Therapeutic (| Court 0.0 | -5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1092 MHTAAR | D00 | -5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | J |
| Dogg 11 of | 10 | | | 0 | toto of Alpala | _ | | | 2 2 2000 | 4.40 | N 4 | |

State of Alaska Office of Management & Budget 3-2-2009 1:19 PM

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| | , | art Gyotom (2 1 1) | | | | | | | | Po | sitions | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------|-----|---------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| | | | | | | | nce original budget ap qual projected MHTAA | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Just 1092 MHTAAR | tice - Grant 5 Dec | 67.04 Access to time -20.0 -20.0 | ely neuropsychiatric 0.0 | evaluations 0.0 | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | nce original budget ap qual projected MHTAA | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Just 1092 MHTAAR | tice - Grant 1 Dec | 935.01 Juneau Ment -204.4 -204.4 | al Health Court -80.4 | -4.3 | -119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| , , | s Justice - Jui | neau MH Court will pr | | | | · | nsion to Targeted Con | • | | | | |
| contact with the c decreasing risk of | riminal justice f future contac | system and then deve cts with the criminal ju | eloping a court ordered | ed treatment plan to tithin a correctiona | that addresses tre I or the psychiatri | eatment needs and ic institution and the | lerlying reasons for an d will be monitored by the associated high costidingly and thei | the court, thus sts. Court | | | | |
| This project was f | unded in FY0 | 9 with \$204.4 MHTAA | R and is maintained a | at that level in FY1 | 0 with \$204.4. | | | | | | | |
| MH Trust: Dis Just | tice -Grant 19 IncOTI | 935.01 Mental Health 204.4 204.4 | Court Expansion to 80.4 | o Targeted Comr 4.3 | nunity 119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This project has had a title change since originally submitted from MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.01 Juneau Mental Health Court.

The MH Trust: Dis Justice -Grant 1935.01 Mental Health Court expansion to targeted community will provide a therapeutic court alternative for Trust beneficiaries. The project and its funding will be managed by the Alaska Court System staff.

This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area by providing a court alternative that will identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with the criminal justice system and then developing a court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment needs and will be monitored by the court, thus decreasing risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care within a correctional or the psychiatric institution and the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide outcome data on how the funding is utilized and associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals served and their outcomes.

This project was funded in FY09 with \$204.4 MHTAAR and is maintained at that level in FY10 with \$204.4.

Component: Trial Courts (768)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | ositions PPT | NP |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------|----|
| | Totals | 74,669.1 | 55,678.4 | 1,284.2 | 15,600.0 | 1,719.3 | 287.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 602 | 56 | 9 |

Component: Administration and Support (769)

RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT PFT | ositions PPT | NP |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|----|
| | | ****** | ***** Changes Fr | om FY2009 Co | onference Con | nmittee To FY | 2009 Authorized | ********* | ****** | ***** | | |
| FY2009 Conference | e Committee ConfCom | 9,086.4 | 6,793.2 | 103.7 | 1,010.8 | 1,086.4 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 83 | 3 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 9,000.4 876.8 | 0,793.2 | 103.7 | 1,010.6 | 1,000.4 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 03 | 3 | 0 |
| 1133 CSSD Reim | , | 209.6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Budget implement | ation revision | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LIT | 0.0 | 0.0 the requested CMS | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -50.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| funding is not end | | | e available funding w | | | | • | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 9,086.4 | 6,793.2 | 103.7 | 1,060.8 | 1,086.4 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83 | 3 | 0 |
| Adjustment for conow are coded to | LIT ding of computer | 0.0 rs, monitors and fu | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 ost of these items r | -32.3 | 0.0 6,000, so they | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Subtotal | 9,086.4 | 6,793.2 | 103.7 | 1,060.8 | 1,118.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83 | 3 | 0 |
| FY2010 Wage and | | *************************ce Increases for E 279.7 | ******** Change: Bargaining Units wit 279.7 | s From FY2009 th Existing Agree 0.0 | Managements 0.0 | t Plan To FY20 | 0.0 Governor *** | 0.0 | 0.0 | ** | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | 2 | 279.7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The FY2010 wag : \$279.7 | e and health insu | ırance increases a | pplicable to this comp | ponent | | | | | | | | |
| New Positons to Ir | • | | | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc | 411.9 | 361.9 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | • | 411.9 | | | | | | | | | | |

Integrated Justice Tech Support Specialist

Information sharing among justice agencies allows access to the right information at the right time, which is critical for the effective operation of criminal justice agencies. Information, for example, about prior arrests, convictions, parole, bail, and so forth is crucial to making decisions about disposition options such as sentencing and supervision requirements. Information about the nature of any previous incidents at a home and who was involved is critical information an officer needs before stepping into a house while responding to a domestic disturbance call. If an officer can access this information from a patrol car, it will maximize his or her ability to assess and control the situation and ensure the officer's safety as well as that of others involved. The court system works with twenty other agencies through the Multi-Agency Justice Integration Consortium (MAJIC) to ensure that information sharing standards and best practices endorsed by that group are followed. An Integrated Justice Technical Support Specialist is required to provide quality control for MAJIC-endorsed data exchange projects; maintain

Component: Administration and Support (769) RDU: Alaska Court System (244)

| | | | | | | | | | | P | ositions | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------|---------------|-----|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change | Trans | Totals | Personal | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| Record Title | Type | | Services | | | | | Benefits | | | | |

and manage the MAJIC website; implement and manage programming for information exchanges between different criminal justice data systems and databases; assist MAJIC agencies with technical design and development issues related to integrated justice projects; evaluate and make recommendations involving proposals and data exchange technologies and tools; train MAJIC agency staff and contractors in relevant technologies; and assist in strategic planning for integrated justice. Range 22

Contracts and Leasing Manager

The court system operates 44 court facilities. This position performs functions critical to the operation of the court system's facilities on a day to day basis. The responsibilities include working with court managers to prepare statement of space needs and technical requirements for new leases and service contracts, and to monitor building conditions to remediate existing lease or service deficiencies. The position specifies, issues for bid, negotiates, and manages leases for court space and tenant space, and service contracts for janitorial, snow removal, landscaping and other building services. Additionally, this position focuses on utility consumption to ensure that technology and space upgrades do not raise utility bills beyond affordability. The primary responsibility of this position is to ensure efficient, cost-effective and uninterrupted operation of the court by providing an accommodating, comfortable, safe, and clean working environment. In addition to monitoring contract compliance and fielding occupant concerns, this position also manages numerous small construction projects related to tenant improvements and maintenance work throughout the year. Range 18

Senior Accounting Clerk

Fiscal Operations requires an additional accounting position to handle the increased demands for management of accounting records associated with grants, reimbursable services agreements, contracts and general audit requirements. The Fiscal Operations Department is minimally staffed within the accounting area. Efforts to improve efficiencies or save money in other areas of the court system operations has resulted in increased administrative work for the accounting staff. Examples of the increased work include efforts by the travel clerk to insure travelers are securing good fares for their travel as well as assisting travelers with finding accommodations and registering for conferences. Additionally, as the court receives federal pass-through funding from executive branch agencies, additional work is generated as reporting requirements for sub-recipients can be extensive. Finally, the court system requires additional resources to assist with audit functions within the trial court accounting operations. Range 12

Records Technician

Fiscal Operations requires an additional records technician for the department to maintain continuous case file document scanning and to timely respond to the public's requests for records that are only available on microfilm, which is very cumbersome to access. This position will assist with the court system's imaging project, which is an effort to scan and digitize court records for improved access to these records by court staff and by the public at large. With additional staffing. the Records Management Division will be able to expedite the scanning and digitizing of the court documents for greatly improved access. Range 10

Human Resources Generalist

As a result of increased caseloads and new court initiatives, additional court staffing has been approved by the legislature. An increase in the number of positions directly affects staff workload in the areas of payroll and leave processing, recruitment, classification, evaluation tracking, and direct assistance to the employees. The Human Resources Department finds it increasingly difficult to meet recurring payroll deadlines and provide responsive customer service. When this department has a vacancy or an employee is on leave for more than a day or two, the department is forced to reassign staff, skip important quality control steps and reduce staff availability to provide responsive core services and assistance to court personnel. The payroll staff is overwhelmed, which has a direct impact on the morale of the department. Range 12

Increased Software Support Costs Inc 150.0 0.0 0.0 150.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

1004 Gen Fund 150.0

Vendors for the case management software and operating systems software assess charges for recurring licensing fees and maintenance expense. As with

Component: Administration and Support (769) **RDU:** Alaska Court System (244)

| | | | | | | | | | | Р | ositions | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------|-----|----------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| the software supposersions of software has required exte | port costs will rend are applications ar ensive investment i | er the court unable released. The manager in new software a | le to receive software nigration over to a ne | e upgrades and so w case managem oft software applica | ftware patches the ent system, a ne | nat are needed to r w digital imaging s | ware has increased. For repair "bugs" detected a system, and a new reconnal requirements. It is in | after earlier rding system | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 9,928.0 | 7,434.8 | 111.2 | 1,220.8 | 1,151.2 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88 | 3 | 0 |
| | ****** | ****** | ******* Changes | From FY2010 | Governor To | FY2010 Gover | nor Amended *** | ****** | ******* | *** | | |
| | Totals | 9.928.0 | 7.434.8 | 111.2 | 1.220.8 | 1.151.2 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88 | 3 | |

Component: Commission on Judicial Conduct (770) **RDU:** Commission on Judicial Conduct (245)

| Scenario/Change | Trans | Totals | Personal | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants. | Miscellaneous | P PFT | ositions PPT | NF |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|----|
| Record Title | Туре | | Services | | | | | Benefits | | | | |
| | ******* | ****** | **** Changes Fr | om FY2009 Co | onference Cor | nmittee To FY | 2009 Authorized | ****** | ****** | ***** | | |
| FY2009 Conference | | 250.2 | 236.3 | 14.5 | 07.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | ConfCom 35 | 350.3 n 3 | 230.3 | 14.5 | 87.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | (|
| 1004 Con Fund | 00 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 350.3 | 236.3 | 14.5 | 87.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | |
| | ****** | ****** | ****** Changes | From FY2009 | Authorized T | o FY2009 Man | agement Plan *** | ****** | ****** | *** | | |
| | Subtotal | 350.3 | 236.3 | 14.5 | 87.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | |
| | ********** | ****** | ****** Changes | From FY2009 |) Managemen | t Plan To FY20 | 10 Governor *** | ******* | ****** | ** | | |
| FY2010 Wage and | | | argaining Units wit | h Existing Agree | ments | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | SalAdj | 8.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | • | 3.7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The FY2010 wag : \$8.7 | ge and health insura | nce increases ap | oplicable to this comp | ponent | | | | | | | | |
| Reduce Personal | Services Underfun | dina | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1004 Gen Fund | ; | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | erson office. An office sted in order to mair | | | | es budget when a vac | ancy factor | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 362.6 | 248.6 | 14.5 | 87.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | |
| | ****** | | | _ | | FY2010 Gover | | | ****** | _ | - | |
| | Totals | 362.6 | 248.6 | 14.5 | 87.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | |

Component: Judicial Council (771)

RDU: Judicial Council (246)

| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|-----|----|
| * | ****** | ****** | **** Changes Fro | m FY2009 Co | nference Cor | nmittee To FY | 2009 Authorized | ******** | ****** | ***** | | |
| FY2009 Conference | e Committee | | · · | | | | | | | | | |
| | ConfCom | 912.5 | 538.3 | 36.4 | 324.1 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 912.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 912.5 | 538.3 | 36.4 | 324.1 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| | ******* | ******* | ****** Changes I | From FY2009 | Authorized T | o FY2009 Man | agement Plan * | ****** | ******* | *** | | |
| | Subtotal | 912.5 | 538.3 | 36.4 | 324.1 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| | | ******* | Cilaliyes | | | t Plan To FY20 | 10 Governor ** | ******* | ******* | ** | | |
| FY2010 Wage and | | | argaining Units with | | | | | | | | | |
| | SalAdj | 31.4 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 31.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The FY2010 wag : \$31.4 | e and health ins | surance increases ap | oplicable to this compo | onent | | | | | | | | |
| Preliminary Judici | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | Inc | 8.0 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

From 1988 through 2003, the Council conducted performance evaluations of Alaska's judges a few years in advance of judges' retention election years. These evaluations preceded the Council's evaluation of the judges during their retention election year. The purpose of a preliminary evaluation was to provide judges with an opportunity to improve their performance before they ran for retention. In 1996, the American Judicature Society conducted a study of judicial use of performance evaluation information gathered by the Judicial Council. Judges reported that the evaluation process made them more accountable for their job performance. Almost all of the judges reported that the Council's reports provided them with information upon which they could improve their performance.

The Council discontinued these preliminary performance evaluations in 2005 due to a lack of funding. Since then, the Council has recommended that the public not retain two judges who were on the ballot for the first time. These judges might have benefited from earlier evaluation.

The Council participates in training for new judges. New judges have consistently asked the Council to evaluate their performance in advance of their retention election year so that they might benefit from positive feedback and be more informed about concerns about their performance before they run for retention.

In 2008, the Council conducted a limited evaluation of 14 judges who will be on the ballot for the first time in 2010. The Council anticipates that about 12 judges will be on the ballot for the first time in each retention election. The Council seeks funding to conduct preliminary evaluations of new judges. The cost of a preliminary evaluation is estimated to be \$16.0. Evaluation costs can be spread over two fiscal years. The Council seeks an increment of \$8.0 to its base budget to conduct preliminary evaluations of new judges.

Judicial Selection Expenses

Component: Judicial Council (771)

RDU: Judicial Council (246)

| | | | | | | | | | | | Jaiuona | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|-----|---------|----|
| Scenario/Change Record Title | Trans Type | Totals | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants, Benefits | Miscellaneous | PFT | PPT | NP |
| - | Inc | 63.6 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 49.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund | | 63.6 | | | | | | | | | | |

Except for one-time funding in FY 07 to evaluate applicants for six new judgeships created by the legislature, the Council's budget for selection costs has been based on a pre-2003 historical average of 4 vacancies a year. From 2003 - 2007, the average number of vacancies per year was 7.2.1

In 2008, 6 vacancies occurred. There are more judgeships than in the past and the judiciary is aging. In addition to more vacancies, there have been many more applicants per vacancy in recent years, 2 a trend that is expected to continue due to judicial pay raises.

The Council has no control over the number of judicial vacancies or the number of judicial applicants. The Council needs additional funds to meet its increased responsibility in the area of judicial selection. In FY09, due to the high number of vacancies, the Council required supplemental funding for the first time in more than 20 years. The legislature provided the funding but did not increase the Council's base budget to enable the Council to meet its increased judicial selection workload in future years.

Based on its experience since 2003, the Council seeks \$63,600 to cover its increased judicial selection costs. These funds will permit the Council to screen applicants for 7 vacancies per year. This amount does not include any personal service costs even though the Council has fewer full-time staff than it did before 2003.

Travel 12.6 Survey hosting / analysis 39.6 Mailing/printing/advertising/misc. 10.2 Supplies 1.2 Total 63.6

- 1 Alaska Judicial Council, Selecting and Evaluating Alaska's Judges: 1984-2007 at p. 5.
- 2 From 1984-1988, there were 6.2 applicants per vacancy; from 1989-2002, there were 8.5 applicants per vacancy; and from 2003-2007, there were 10.6 applicants per vacancy. Ibid.

4th year Fiscal Note for Ch 51 SLA 06 Additional Judges and Judges' Salaries

0.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 IncOTI 2.2 0.0 1004 Gen Fund 2.2 1.017.7 569.7 49.0 383.9 9.1 6.0 0.0 Subtotal 0.0 *********** Changes From FY2010 Governor To FY2010 Governor Amended ************ 383.9 6.0 5 Totals 1,017.7 569.7 49.0 9.1 0.0 0.0 6